

# ECCV 2022 Seasons in Drift Challenge

## Fact sheet

This is the fact sheet’s template for the ECCV 2022 Seasons in Drift Challenge. Please fill out the following sections carefully in a scientific writing style. Then, send the compressed project (in .zip format), i.e., the generated PDF, .tex, .bib and any additional files, following the schedule and instructions (“Wining solutions (post-challenge)”, Fact Sheets) provided in the Challenge webpage.

### I. TEAM DETAILS

- Team leader name: **Boyong He**
- Username on Codalab: **heboyong**
- Team leader affiliation: **Xiamen University**
- Team leader email: **heboyong@qq.com**
- Name of other team members (and affiliation):
  - (1) **Qianwen Ye, Xiamen University**
  - (2) **Xianjiang Li, Xiamen University**
  - (3) **Weijie Guo, Xiamen University**
- Team website URL (if any): None
- Competition track (mark with X one single option)<sup>1</sup>:
  - ( ) Track 1: Detection at **day** level.
  - ( ) Track 2: Detection at **week** level.
  - (X) Track 3: Detection at **month** level.

### II. CONTRIBUTION DETAILS

#### A. Title of the contribution

In this competition, we use the current SOTA algorithms (Cascade RCNN [1], Swin Transformer [2], CBNetv2 [3]) and targeted data augmentation methods (Large Scale Jitter, Random Crop, MixUp [4], Albu Augmentation [5], CopyPaste [6] ) to mitigate the drift problem in the LTD dataset [7] caused by changes in the outdoor environment and significantly improves the detection accuracy of the week level training dataset.

#### B. Representative image / workflow diagram of the method

As shown in 1

#### C. Detailed method description

In this competition, we use the MMDetection [8] as the main framework for the verification and development of the algorithms.

The structures of our algorithm include:

- **Cascade RCNN** [1]: We use Cascade RCNN, a two-stage object detection algorithm with high accuracy, as the main architecture for object detection.

<sup>1</sup>If you participated in more than one competition track, you need to share with the organizers one fact sheet per track.

- **Swin Transformer** [2]: We use the SOTA transformer structure Swin Transformer (Swin-S) as the backbone. Swin Transformer always achieves better results when comparing with other CNN-based backbone.
- **CBNetv2** [3]: We use CBNetv2 to enhance the Swin Transformer to directly improve the accuracy of the current backbone without retraining the backbone.

Data augmentation, inference and post-processing settings include:

- **Data sampling**: **Notice: We only use Week level data to train our model, because we find that more data will not improve the final result.** Considering the image continuity and repetition in the dataset, we only randomly sampled 30% of the image data from the week level training dataset selected for all training dataset in order to reduce overfitting.
- **Large Scale Jitter**: We use a training scale of 960 on the longest side and set a training scale range from 0.5 to 2.0.
- **Random Crop**: We also use the Random Crop setting with the size of 1600 to alleviate the GPU memory shortage for efficient training.
- **MixUp** [4]: We use the MixUp method to expand and augment data online.
- **Albu Augmentation** [5]: We use the settings in the Albu library to augment the training data online, including: RandomBrightnessContrast, RGBShift, HSVShift, Blur, Compression, Noise, and ChannelShuffle.
- **CopyPaste** [6]: We use the bounding box label as the segmentation label and use the CopyPaste method for instance-level augmentation online
- **Test Time Augmentation**: In the inference stage, we use Soft-NMS and flip augmentation to further enhance the results, with single model and single scale 960.

The detailed training schedule includes:

- **Optimizer and learning rate schedule**: The default optimizer is AdamW [9], with an initial learning rate of 0.0001 and a weight decay parameter of 0.05, and trained within 12 epochs.
- **SycBN**: We use SycBN as the default batch normalization setting.

#### D. Challenge results

Fill Table I with your obtained results, shown in the leaderboard of the challenge.




Fig. 1. The structures of our algorithm.

TABLE I  
RESULTS FROM LEADERBOARD (TEST PHASE) OBTAINED BY THE PROPOSED APPROACH.

Rank position	$mAP_w$	$mAP$	Jan	Mar	Abr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
2	0.324154	0.3316	0.3671	0.3538	0.3289	0.2864	0.2856	0.2458	0.3132	0.4735

### E. Final remarks

Please identify the pros and cons (if any) of the proposed approach.

We have not addressed well the long-tail problem in our dataset caused by the extreme sparsity of the bicycle and motorcycle categories. In our experiments, we found extremely low precision for these two categories.

### III. ADDITIONAL METHOD DETAILS

Please, reply if your challenge entry considered (or not) the following strategies and provide a brief explanation. For each question, mark with X one single option.

- **For the competition track associated with this fact sheet, you confirm that you have trained your model on the predefined and single:** ( ) Day, ( ) Week, (X) Month - as instructed in the challenge webpage.
- **Did you use any pre-trained model:** (X) Yes, ( ) No. If yes, please detail (e.g., model architecture, training strategy, train data, etc.).

The pretrained model can be found in this URL: Cascade Mask RCNN with CBNetv2 and Swin Transformer.

Detailed information can be found in this URL: CBNetv2.

- **Did you use external data?** ( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:

- **Did you perform any data augmentation?**

(X) Yes, ( ) No  
If yes, please detail:

Data augmentation methods:

- **Data sampling:** Considering the image continuity and repetition in the dataset, we only randomly sampled 30% of the image data from the original training dataset in order to reduce overfitting.
- **Large Scale Jitter:** We use a training scale of 960 on the longest side and set a training scale range from 0.5 to 2.0.
- **Random Crop:** We also use the Random Crop setting with the size of 1600 to alleviate the GPU

memory shortage for efficient training.

- **MixUp** [4]: We use the MixUp method to expand and augment data online.
- **Albu Augmentation** [5]: We use the settings in the Albu library to augment the training data online, including: RandomBrightnessContrast, RGBShift, HSVShift, Blur, Compression, Noise, and ChannelShuffle.
- **CopyPaste** [6]: We use the bounding box label as the segmentation label and use the CopyPaste method for instance-level augmentation online
- **At the final phase, did you use the provided validation set as part of your training set?** ( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:
- **Did you use any regularization strategies/terms?** ( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:
- **Did you use handcrafted features?** ( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:
- **Did you use any spatio-temporal feature extraction strategy?** ( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:
- **Did you perform object tracking?**  
( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:
- **Did you leverage timestamp information?**  
( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:
- **Did you use empty frames present in the dataset?**  
( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:
- **Did you construct any type of prior to condition for visual variety?**  
( ) Yes, (X) No  
If yes, please detail:

#### IV. CODE REPOSITORY

Link to a code repository with complete and detailed instructions so that the results obtained on Codalab can be reproduced locally. This includes a list of requirements, pre-trained models, and so on. Note, training code with instructions is also required. This is recommended for all participants and mandatory for winners to claim their prize. **Organizers strongly encourage the use of docker to facilitate reproducibility.**

**Code repository:** <https://github.com/heboyong/month-submit>

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Cai and N. Vasconcelos, “Cascade r-cnn: Delving into high quality object detection,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 6154–6162.
- [2] Z. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Cao, H. Hu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhang, S. Lin, and B. Guo, “Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 10012–10022.
- [3] T. Liang, X. Chu, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Tang, W. Chu, J. Chen, and H. Ling, “Cbnetv2: A composite backbone network architecture for object detection,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.00420*, 2021.
- [4] H. Zhang, M. Cisse, Y. N. Dauphin, and D. Lopez-Paz, “mixup: Beyond empirical risk minimization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.09412*, 2017.
- [5] A. Buslaev, V. I. Iglovikov, E. Khvedchenya, A. Parinov, M. Druzhinin, and A. A. Kalinin, “Albumentations: fast and flexible image augmentations,” *Information*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 125, 2020.
- [6] G. Ghiasi, Y. Cui, A. Srinivas, R. Qian, T.-Y. Lin, E. D. Cubuk, Q. V. Le, and B. Zoph, “Simple copy-paste is a strong data augmentation method for instance segmentation,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2021, pp. 2918–2928.
- [7] I. A. Nikolov, M. P. Philipsen, J. Liu, J. V. Dueholm, A. S. Johansen, K. Nasrollahi, and T. B. Moeslund, “Seasons in drift: A long-term thermal imaging dataset for studying concept drift,” in *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2021.
- [8] K. Chen, J. Wang, J. Pang, Y. Cao, Y. Xiong, X. Li, S. Sun, W. Feng, Z. Liu, J. Xu *et al.*, “Mmdetection: Open mmlab detection toolbox and benchmark,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.07155*, 2019.
- [9] I. Loshchilov and F. Hutter, “Decoupled weight decay regularization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017.